Interview Summary	10/566,548	KARMAN ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	LILIANA CERULLO	2629	
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):			
(1) <u>LILIANA CERULLO</u> .	(3)		
(2) MICHAEL E. BELK (Attorney for the Applicants).	(4)		
Date of Interview: 25 June 2009.			
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)□ Video Conference c)□ Personal [copy given to: 1)□ applicant 2	2) <u> </u>	e]	
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description:	e)⊠ No.		
Claim(s) discussed: <u>6</u> .			
Identification of prior art discussed: <u>N/A</u> .			
Agreement with respect to the claims f)⊠ was reached. g)□ was not reached. h)□ N/A.			
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Michael E. Belk authorized examiner's amendment to provide antecedent basis for the thin control electrodes in current line 3 of claim 6. See Examiner's Amendment attached.  (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)  THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview			
requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.			
/L. C./			
L. C./ Examiner, Art Unit 2629			

Application No.

Applicant(s)